

THETA FUNCTIONS FOR HOLOMORPHIC TRIPLES

GEORG HEIN AND THANG QUYET TRUONG

ABSTRACT. We introduce an generalization of the theta divisor to the theory of holomorphic triples on a smooth projective curve X . We show that a given triple $T = (E_1 \rightarrow E_0)$ is α -semistable iff there exists an orthogonal triple $S = (F_1 \rightarrow F_0)$ with given numerical invariants. This yields globally generated theta line bundles on the moduli space of semistable triples.

1. INTRODUCTION

We fix a smooth projective curve X of genus g over an algebraically closed ground field k . When investigating the coarse moduli space $U_X(r, d)$ of S-equivalence classes of semistable bundles on X , an ample Cartier divisor which allows a geometric interpretation facilitates its study. Drezet and Narasimhan defined in [4] with the generalized theta line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{U_X(r, d)}(\Theta)$ such a line bundle. The generalized theta divisor has nice sections θ_F associated to vector bundles F of slope $\mu(F) = (g - 1) - \frac{d}{r}$ with vanishing divisor Θ_F . The k points of this divisor are

$$\Theta_F(k) = \{[E] \in U_X(r, d)(k) \mid \text{such that } H^*(X, E \otimes F) \neq 0\}.$$

The result of Faltings' about the existence of *orthogonal objects* is basic for the theory of the generalized theta divisor:

Theorem 1.1. (*Theorem [5, Theorem 1.2]*) *For a vector bundle E on X we have the following equivalence:*

$$E \text{ is semistable} \iff H^*(X, E \otimes F) = 0 \text{ for a vector bundle } F \neq 0.$$

This result is a key step in showing that the generalized theta line bundle is ample. Indeed, it shows that a certain power of the generalized theta line bundle is globally generated. Furthermore, as shown by Popa, the rank and the determinant of F can be fixed a priori:

Theorem 1.2. (*Theorem [9, Theorem 5.3]*) *For a vector bundle E of rank r and degree d on X we have the following equivalence for any fixed line bundle L of degree $r^2(g - 1) - r \cdot d$:*

$$E \text{ is semistable} \iff H^*(X, E \otimes F) = 0 \text{ for a } F \text{ with } \text{rk}(F) = r^2, \det(F) \cong L.$$

The result of Popa gives a concrete bound for the generatedness of the generalized theta line bundle.

On the other side there is a coarse moduli space of semistable triples introduced by Bradlow and García-Prada in [2], further studied together with Gothen in [3]. This space parameterizes pairs $(E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$ of vector bundles E_i on X together with a homomorphism φ between them. The construction of triples was extended to the case of arbitrary characteristic by Álvarez-Cónsul in [1]. The aim of this article is to introduce a generalized theta divisor for holomorphic triples which also possesses a nice geometric description of its vanishing divisor, as well as versions of the above theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Indeed, for a triple $T = (E_1 \rightarrow E_0)$, we show in Theorem 5.1, that the α -semistability of T is equivalent to the existence of an orthogonal triple $S = (F_1 \rightarrow F_0)$ satisfying certain numerical conditions which involve the parameter α . Orthogonality means, that the morphism

$$(E_1 \otimes F_0) \oplus (E_0 \otimes F_1) \xrightarrow{-\varphi \otimes \text{id}_{F_0} + \text{id}_{E_0} \otimes \psi} E_0 \otimes F_0$$

is surjective and its kernel K satisfies $H^*(X, K) = 0$.

This allows to define the set of all triples T such that T is not orthogonal to S . As we see in Section 6 this set is a Cartier divisor Θ_S corresponding to the generalized theta line bundle on the moduli space of holomorphic triples. We see in Proposition 5.6 that we can bound the ranks in the triple S independent of T . This result is the equivalent to Popa's base point free theorem 1.2.

The plan of the article is as follows: We start in Section 2 with the equivalence of triples on X and certain short exact sequences on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$. This is similar to the approach in [2]. Next in Section 3, we show the Bogomolov inequality for $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Since, we show it in any characteristic this result is new in positive characteristic. In Section 4 we explain how this induces effective restriction theorems on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Indeed, we almost follow the book [7] of Huybrechts and Lehn in doing so. Section 5 contains the main result of this article the equivalence of semistability and orthogonality for triples. Having done this, we define in Section 6 the generalized theta divisor Θ_R for a triple. Here we follow the exposition in the article [4] of Drezet and Narasimhan. In the last Section we present a simple example of a theta divisor Θ_R on a specific moduli space of triples such that Θ_R is ample and the linear system $|2 \cdot \Theta_R|$ is globally generated.

Notation. We fix a smooth projective curve X of genus g over an algebraically closed field k . For a triple $T = (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$ we denote the ranks by r_1 and r_0 , and analogously the degrees by d_1 and d_0 , respectively. The $\mathbb{P}^1$ is the space $\mathbb{P}(V)$ for the two dimensional k vector space V . We denote the projections from $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ as follows: $X \xleftarrow{p} X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{q} \mathbb{P}^1$. We use F_p and F_q for the classes of the fibers of points with respect to p and q in the numerical Chow group $\text{CH}^1(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)$, pt for the class of a k -point in the numerical Chow group $\text{CH}^2(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)$. For

a fixed positive rational number α we denote by H_α the rational polarization $H_\alpha = F_q + \alpha \cdot F_p$ on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Acknowledgment. This work has been supported by the SFB/TR 45 “Periods, moduli spaces and arithmetic of algebraic varieties”.

2. FROM TRIPLES TO SHEAVES ON $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$

2.1. From T to E_T . We fix a triple $T = (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$ on the curve X . We have on $\mathbb{P}^1$ the Euler sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2) \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

So we have on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ two morphisms to p^*E_0 as indicated in the next diagram. The pull back of both morphisms we name E_T .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_T & \xrightarrow{\quad \beta \quad} & p^*E_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p^*E_0 \otimes q^*(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) & \longrightarrow & p^*E_0 \end{array}$$

We obtain a short exact sequence on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$

$$0 \longrightarrow E_0 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2) \longrightarrow E_T \longrightarrow p^*E_1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

So we compute the (numerical) Chern character

$$\text{ch}(E_T) = (r_0 + r_1) + ((d_0 + d_1)F_p - 2r_0F_q) - 2d_0\text{pt}.$$

To obtain the numerical invariants of E_T , as

$$\text{rk}(E_T) = r_0 + r_1, \quad c_1(E_T) = (d_0 + d_1)F_p - 2r_0F_q, \quad \text{and} \quad c_2(E_T) = 2d_0 - 2r_0(d_0 + d_1).$$

The degree with respect to the polarization H_α is

$$\deg(E_T) = \deg_{H_\alpha}(E_T) = c_1(E_T) \cdot H_\alpha = d_0 + d_1 - 2\alpha r_0.$$

Thus, we have motivated the definition of the rank, α -degree, and α -slope of the triple $T = (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$

$$\text{rk}(T) = r_0 + r_1, \quad \deg_\alpha(T) = d_0 + d_1 - 2\alpha r_0, \quad \mu_\alpha(T) = \frac{\deg_\alpha(T)}{\text{rk}(T)}.$$

Once this is done we proceed to the definition of (semi)stability.

Definition: The triple $T = (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$ is α -(semi)stable $\iff$ the sheaf E_T is (semi)stable with respect to the polarization H_α on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Lemma 2.2. *For a triple $T = (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$ the following is equivalent:*

- (1) *The triple T is α -semistable.*
- (2) *For all sub triples $T' \hookrightarrow T$ we have the inequality $\mu_\alpha(T') \leq \mu_\alpha(T)$.*
- (3) *For all quotient triples $T \twoheadrightarrow T''$ we have the inequality $\mu_\alpha(T) \leq \mu_\alpha(T'')$.*

Proof. (1) $\implies$ (2). This direction is easy. If $T' \hookrightarrow T$ destabilizes T , then $E_{T'} \subset E_T$ destabilizes E_T . The equivalence of (2) and (3) is standard.

(2) $\implies$ (1). To see this we consider $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with the group action of SL_2 where the action on X is trivial, and the action on $\mathbb{P}^1$ comes from an identification $\mathrm{SL}_2 = \mathrm{SL}(V)$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}(V)$. Since the Euler sequence on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is a sequence of SL_2 -bundles, we obtain that E_T is also a SL_2 -bundle, and the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow p^*E_0 \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2) \rightarrow E_T \rightarrow p^*E_1 \rightarrow 0$$

is a sequence of SL_2 -bundles. Assume that E_T is not semistable, then there exists a unique destabilizing subsheaf $F \subset E_T$. The uniqueness implies that F is SL_2 -invariant, or F is a SL_2 -sub bundle of E_T . We conclude that we have the following diagram where all sheaves are SL_2 -bundles, and all morphisms SL_2 -morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F' & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & F'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & p^*E_0 \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2) & \longrightarrow & E_T & \longrightarrow & p^*E_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

We deduce that $F'' = p^*F_1$ and $F' = p^*F_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$. The long exact sequence for p_* yields the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_1 & \longrightarrow & F_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & E_0 \end{array}$$

with injective vertical morphisms. Thus, $(F_1 \rightarrow F_0)$ destabilizes $(E_1 \rightarrow E_0)$. $\square$

3. THE BOGOMOLOV INEQUALITY FOR $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$

3.1. The Harder-Narasimhan functor $E \mapsto E_{(\mu)}$. We recall that for any vector bundle E on X we have the unique Harder-Narasimhan filtration

$$0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_k$$

which satisfies E_i/E_{i-1} is semistable for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, and the rational numbers $\mu_i = \mu(E_i/E_{i-1})$ are strictly decreasing. The set $\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k\}$, is the set of slopes appearing in the associated graded object $\mathrm{gr}(E) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k E_i/E_{i-1}$. For a rational number μ we define

$$E_{(\mu)} := E_j \quad \text{where } j = \max\{i = 1, \dots, k \mid \mu_i \geq \mu\}.$$

The advantage of the notation is, that we obtain a functor $\mathrm{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(X)$ sending $E \mapsto E_{(\mu)}$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$. This follows from the next

Lemma 3.2. *Let $E \xrightarrow{\varphi} F$ be a morphism of vector bundles, and $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$, then we obtain a natural morphism $E_{(\mu)} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{(\mu)}} F_{(\mu)}$ making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{(\mu)} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{(\mu)}} & F_{(\mu)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & F \end{array}$$

commutative. The vertical arrows are the natural inclusions.

Proof. The natural inclusions give a composite homomorphism

$$\alpha : E_{(\mu)} \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\varphi} F \rightarrow F/F_{(\mu)}.$$

Since there are no homomorphism of semistable sheaves of slope $\geq \mu$ to those of slope $< \mu$ we conclude that α is zero. This induces the assertion. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let E and F two vector bundles on X . If $\mu(E) > \mu(F)$, then there exists a rational number μ such that $\frac{\text{rk}(E_{(\mu)})}{\text{rk}(E)} > \frac{\text{rk}(F_{(\mu)})}{\text{rk}(F)}$ holds.*

Proof. Let $\{\mu_1 > \mu_2 > \dots > \mu_k\}$ be the union of the slopes appearing in the associated graded objects $\text{gr}(E)$ and $\text{gr}(F)$. We see that

$$\mu(E) = \frac{1}{\text{rk}(E)} \sum_{i=1}^k (\text{rk}(E_{(\mu_i)}) - \text{rk}(E_{(\mu_{i-1})})) \mu_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\text{rk}(E_{(\mu_i)})}{\text{rk}(E)} (\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}) + \mu_k,$$

and obtain a similar formula for $\mu(F)$. From both formulas we deduce that

$$0 < \mu(E) - \mu(F) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\text{rk}(E_{(\mu_i)})}{\text{rk}(E)} - \frac{\text{rk}(F_{(\mu_i)})}{\text{rk}(F)} \right) (\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}).$$

Since the differences $(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1})$ are all positive, the statement follows. $\square$

Theorem 3.4. (Bogomolov inequality for curves times $\mathbb{P}^1$ in any characteristic) *Let X be a smooth projective curve over some algebraically closed field k . Let E be a vector bundle on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We consider the discriminant $\Delta(E)$, which is the number*

$$\Delta(E) = \int_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1} (\text{rk}(E) - 1) c_1^2(E) - 2 \text{rk}(E) c_2(E).$$

If E is semistable with respect to one polarization H on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$, then we must have

$$\Delta(E) \leq 0.$$

Indeed, if $\Delta(E) > 0$, then there exists a subsheaf $E' \subset E$ such that E' contradicts the semistability, that means $\mu_H(E') > \mu_H(E)$, with respect to any polarization H on X .

Proof. We assume that E is a vector bundle satisfying $\Delta(E) > 0$ and construct a subsheaf E' of E contradicting the semistability for all polarizations H . To make the proof more accessible, we divide it into steps.

Step 1: Notation. We consider the morphisms $X \xleftarrow{p} X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{q} \mathbb{P}^1$. First we remark, that the discriminant is unchanged when we twist E with any line bundle L . Since semistability also remains untouched when passing from E to $E \otimes L$, we may assume that $R^1 p_*(E \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) = 0$. The resolution of the diagonal

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \rightarrow 0$$

when pulled back to X yields a resolution

$$0 \rightarrow p^* E_1 \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \rightarrow p^* E_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0.$$

Using this short exact sequence, and using the numbers $r_i = \text{rk}(E_i)$ and $d_i = \deg(E_i)$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$, we find that

$$\Delta(E) = 2(r_0 d_1 - r_1 d_0) = 2r_0 r_1 (\mu(E_1) - \mu(E_0)).$$

Thus, we conclude from $\Delta(E) > 0$ that $2r_0 r_1 > 0$ and $\mu(E_1) > \mu(E_0)$ hold.

Step 2: Choice of μ . Since $\mu(E_1) > \mu(E_0)$ we conclude from Lemma 3.3 that there exists a rational number μ such that $\frac{\text{rk}(E_{1(\mu)})}{\text{rk}(E_1)} > \frac{\text{rk}(E_{0(\mu)})}{\text{rk}(E_0)}$ holds. Now we choose μ in such a way that the quotient $\frac{\text{rk}(E_{1(\mu)})}{\text{rk}(E_{0(\mu)})}$ becomes maximal. This implies that $\mu(E_{1(\mu)}) \leq \mu(E_{0(\mu)})$. Indeed, if $\mu(E_{1(\mu)}) > \mu(E_{0(\mu)})$, then we could apply Lemma 3.3 again, to deduce that for a rational number ν we have $\frac{\text{rk}(E_{1(\mu)(\nu)})}{\text{rk}(E_{0(\mu)(\nu)})} > \frac{\text{rk}(E_{1(\mu)})}{\text{rk}(E_{0(\mu)})}$. However $E_{1(\mu)(\nu)} = E_{1(\max\{\mu, \nu\})}$ and analogously for $E_{0(\mu)(\nu)}$. We have the two inequalities:

$$(1) \quad \frac{\text{rk}(E_{0(\mu)})}{\text{rk}(E_{1(\mu)})} < \frac{\text{rk}(E_0)}{\text{rk}(E_1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(E_{1(\mu)}) \leq \mu(E_{0(\mu)}).$$

Step 3: The subsheaf $E' \subset E$.

When restricting to a section of q , we see that E_1 is a subsheaf of E_0 . We deduce from Lemma 3.2 that $E_{1(\mu)}(-1)$ is also a subsheaf of $E_{0(\mu)}$.

We obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & p^* E_{1\mu} \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) & \longrightarrow & p^* E_{0\mu} & \longrightarrow & E'' \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & p^* E_1 \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) & \longrightarrow & p^* E_0 & \longrightarrow & E \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Now we define E' to be the image of α . From the long kernel cokernel sequence we obtain

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \ker(\alpha) \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow E' \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \ker(\alpha) \subset p^*(E_1/E_{1(\mu)}) \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1).$$

When we restrict E'' to a fiber F_p of p we obtain that $E''|_{F_p}$ is globally generated. Thus, the kernel of α which has no global sections is strictly smaller. We deduce that E' is not the zero subsheaf of E . In particular, its rank is positive. To show that E' is destabilizing, we use that up to numerical equivalence every ample class on X is of type $aF_q + bF_p$ with a and b positive integers and F_q, F_p the fibers of q and p . Thus, to show that E' is destabilizing with respect to any polarization it suffices to show that it destabilizes when restricted to F_q and F_p .

Step 4: The subsheaf E' destabilizes E when restricted to F_p . We use the short exact sequences of (2) and (3) to compute the ranks and degrees of $E|_{F_p}$, $E''|_{F_p}$, and $\ker(\alpha)|_{F_p}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rk}(E|_{F_p}) &= \operatorname{rk}(E_0) - \operatorname{rk}(E_1) & \deg(E|_{F_p}) &= \operatorname{rk}(E_1) \\ \operatorname{rk}(E''|_{F_p}) &= \operatorname{rk}(E_{0(\mu)}) - \operatorname{rk}(E_{1(\mu)}) & \deg(E''|_{F_p}) &= \operatorname{rk}(E_{1(\mu)}) \\ \operatorname{rk}(\ker(\alpha)|_{F_p}) &= r_\alpha & \deg(\ker(\alpha)|_{F_p}) &\leq -r_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that the slope of $E'|_{F_p}$ is given by

$$\mu(E'|_{F_p}) = \frac{-\deg(\ker(\alpha)|_{F_p}) + \operatorname{rk}(E_{1(\mu)})}{\operatorname{rk}(E_{0(\mu)}) - \operatorname{rk}(E_{1(\mu)}) - r_\alpha} \geq \frac{r_\alpha + \operatorname{rk}(E_{1(\mu)})a}{\operatorname{rk}(E_{0(\mu)}) - \operatorname{rk}(E_{1(\mu)}) - r_\alpha}$$

The function on the left is strictly increasing in r_α , which satisfies $r_\alpha \geq 0$. Thus, we conclude that

$$\mu(E'|_{F_p}) \geq \frac{\operatorname{rk}(E_{1(\mu)})}{\operatorname{rk}(E_{0(\mu)}) - \operatorname{rk}(E_{1(\mu)})} = \frac{1}{\frac{\operatorname{rk}(E_{0(\mu)})}{\operatorname{rk}(E_{1(\mu)})} - 1}.$$

The last inequality together with the inequality (1) gives

$$\mu(E'|_{F_p}) > \frac{1}{\frac{\operatorname{rk}(E_0)}{\operatorname{rk}(E_1)} - 1} = \mu(E|_{F_p}).$$

Therefore, the subsheaf E' is destabilizing with respect to the fibers of p .

Step 5: The subsheaf E' destabilizes E when restricted to F_q . We will repeatedly use the following formula for a short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow G'' \rightarrow 0$$

on X which gives the slope of G in terms of the slopes of G' and G'' :

$$\mu(G) = \frac{\operatorname{rk}(G')}{\operatorname{rk}(G') + \operatorname{rk}(G'')} \mu(G') + \frac{\operatorname{rk}(G'')}{\operatorname{rk}(G') + \operatorname{rk}(G'')} \mu(G'').$$

Thus, $\mu(G)$ is a weighted average of $\mu(G')$ and $\mu(G'')$. We conclude that any relation ($<$, $\leq$, $=$, $\geq$, $>$) between $\mu(G')$ and $\mu(G)$ implies the same relation between $\mu(G)$ and $\mu(G'')$.

The short exact sequence from (2) restricted to F_q yields

$$(4) \quad \mu(E_0) > \mu(E|_{F_q})$$

since we have $\mu(E_1) > \mu(E_0)$. Since $E_{0(\mu)}$ appears in the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E_0 we have

$$(5) \quad \mu(E_{0(\mu)}) \geq \mu(E_0).$$

By the definition of $E_{0(\mu)}$ we conclude that

$$(6) \quad \mu(E_{0(\mu)}) \geq \mu.$$

The second inequality in (1) with the short exact sequence from (2) restricted to F_q yields

$$(7) \quad \mu(E_{0(\mu)}) \leq \mu(E''|_{F_q}).$$

The inclusion $\ker(\alpha) \subset p^*(E_1/E_{1(\mu)}) \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ from (3) when restricted to F_q yields $\ker(\alpha)|_{F_q} \subset E_1/E_{1(\mu)}$. The graded object of $E_1/E_{1(\mu)}$ consists of semistable sheaves of slope strictly smaller than μ . So it follows, that $\mu(\ker(\alpha)|_{F_q}) < \mu$. This gives together with $\mu(E''|_{F_q}) \geq \mu$ which is a conclusion of inequalities (6) and (7) that

$$(8) \quad \mu(E'|_{F_q}) \geq \mu(E''|_{F_q}).$$

The inequalities (4), (5), (7), and (8) yield $\mu(E'|_{F_q}) > \mu(E|_{F_q})$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

4. BOGOMOLOV'S RESTRICTION THEOREM FOR $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$

The next result is a standard conclusion how Bogomolov's inequality (Theorem 3.4) induces effective restriction theorems. We follow the presentation of Section 7.3 in the book [7] of Huybrechts and Lehn.

Proposition 4.1. *(cf. [7, Theorem 7.3.5]) Let E be a stable vector bundle of rank $r \geq 2$ on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Let H be an ample divisor on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ a number such that*

- (1) *the linear system $|k \cdot H|$ contains smooth curves, and*
- (2) *we have $k > \frac{r-1}{r}(-\Delta(E)) + \frac{1}{(r-1)r \cdot H^2}$.*

Then the restriction of E to any smooth curve C in the linear system $|k \cdot H|$ is stable.

Proof. Assume that $C \in |k \cdot H|$ is a smooth divisor such that $E|_C$ is not stable. We have a short exact sequence of vector bundles on C

$$0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow E|_C \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow 0$$

with $\mu(G_2) \leq \mu(E|_C)$. We let E' be the kernel of $E \rightarrow E|_C \rightarrow G_2$ and compute

$$\Delta(E') = \Delta(E) + C^2(r \cdot \text{rk}_C(G_2) - \text{rk}_C(G_2)^2) + 2r\text{rk}_C(G_2)(\mu(E|_C) - \mu(G_2))$$

Since $(r \cdot \text{rk}_C(G_2) - \text{rk}_C(G_2)^2) \geq (r-1)$ and the last summand is not negative we deduce that

$$\Delta(E') \geq \Delta(E) + (r-1)C^2.$$

From assumption (2) it follows that $k^2 H^2(r-1) + \Delta(E) > 0$. We deduce that E' has a positive discriminant and is therefore unstable by Bogomolov's Theorem 3.4. We consider the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E' with respect to the polarization H .

$$0 = E'_0 \subset E'_1 \subset E'_2 \subset \dots \subset E'_k = E'.$$

The graded objects E'_i/E'_{i-1} we denote by F_i . We have the equality

$$\frac{\Delta(E')}{r} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\Delta(F_i)}{\text{rk}(F_i)} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \text{rk}(F_i) \text{rk}(F_j) \left(\frac{c_1(F_i)}{\text{rk}(F_i)} - \frac{c_1(F_j)}{\text{rk}(F_j)} \right)^2.$$

Since the F_i are semistable, we have that $\Delta(F_i) \leq 0$, so we conclude

$$\Delta(E') \leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \text{rk}(F_i) \text{rk}(F_j) \left(\frac{c_1(F_i)}{\text{rk}(F_i)} - \frac{c_1(F_j)}{\text{rk}(F_j)} \right)^2.$$

Now multiplying both sides with H^2 and using the Hodge index Theorem yields

$$H^2 \cdot \Delta(E') \leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \text{rk}(F_i) \text{rk}(F_j) (\mu(F_i) - \mu(F_j))^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \mu(F_i) = \frac{c_1(F_i) \cdot H}{\text{rk}(F_i)}.$$

Now Lemma 1.4 of [8] gives an upper bound of the right hand side of that inequality. So we obtain

$$H^2 \cdot \Delta(E') \leq r^2 (\mu(F_1) - \mu(E')) (\mu(E') - \mu(F_k)).$$

From the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow 0$ we deduce that F_1 is a subsheaf of the stable sheaf E . Hence we have

$$\mu(F_1) - \mu(E') \leq \frac{\text{rk}(G_2)}{r} C \cdot H - \frac{1}{r(r-1)}.$$

From the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow E(-C) \rightarrow E' \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow 0$ we see that the quotient F_k of E' contains a quotient of the stable sheaf $E(-C)$ of rank $\text{rk}(F_k)$. Therefore, we have

$$\mu(E') - \mu(F_k) \leq \frac{\text{rk}(G_1)}{r} C \cdot H - \frac{1}{r(r-1)}$$

From the last three inequalities we deduce, using $\text{rk}(G_1) + \text{rk}(G_2) = r$ that

$$H^2 \cdot \Delta(E') \leq (r - \text{rk}(G_2)) \text{rk}(G_2) (C \cdot H)^2 - \frac{r}{r-1} C \cdot H + \frac{1}{(r-1)^2}$$

Multiplying the equality for $\Delta(E')$ with H^2 , and using $\mu(E|_C) - \mu(G_2) \geq 0$ we get the inequality:

$$H^2 \cdot \Delta(E') \geq H^2 \cdot \Delta(E) + H^2 \cdot C^2 (r - \text{rk}(G_2)) \text{rk}(G_2).$$

Putting both together gives

$$H^2 \cdot (-\Delta(E)) \geq \frac{r}{r-1} C \cdot H - \frac{1}{(r-1)^2}$$

Dividing both sides by H^2 gives

$$-\Delta(E) \geq \frac{r \cdot k}{r-1} - \frac{1}{H^2(r-1)^2}.$$

This violates assumption (2). This contradiction shows that $E|_C$ is stable. $\square$

Theorem 4.2. *Let E be a semistable coherent sheaf of rank $r \geq 2$ on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Let H be an ample divisor on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and k be an integer such that*

(1) *the linear system $|k \cdot H|$ contains smooth curves, and*

(2) *we have $k > \frac{r-1}{r}(-\Delta(E)) + \frac{1}{(r-1)r \cdot H^2}$.*

If $0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_k = E$ is the Jordan-Hölder filtration of E , then for a general smooth curve $C \in |k \cdot H|$ the sheaf E_i/E_{i-1} restricted to C is a vector bundle for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, and the Jordan-Hölder filtration of $E|_C$ is given $0 = E_0 \subset E_1|_C \subset \dots \subset E_k|_C = E|_C$. In particular: $E|_C$ is semistable for a general curve in $|k \cdot H|$.

Proof. We start with the remark, that for a coherent torsion free sheaf E , the double dual $E^{\vee\vee}$ satisfies $\Delta(E^{\vee\vee}) \geq \Delta(E)$, and the injection $E \rightarrow E^{\vee\vee}$ has cokernel T of dimension zero. Let C be a smooth curve in $|k \cdot H|$. The action of SL_2 on the homogeneous space $\mathbb{P}^1$ allows to move C on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ to a curve which does not contain any of the points of the support of T . So we may assume that all coherent sheaves which appear in the proof are vector bundles.

Denoting the graded objects E_i/E_{i-1} by F_i we obtain as in the proof of Proposition 4.1 that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{-\Delta(F_i)}{\mathrm{rk}(F_i)} = \frac{-\Delta(E)}{\mathrm{rk}(E)} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{rk}(E)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \left(\frac{c_1(F_i)}{\mathrm{rk} F_i} - \frac{c_1(F_j)}{\mathrm{rk} F_k} \right)^2.$$

Since we have a Jordan-Hölder filtration we have that the slope of the F_i with respect to H are all the same, or $\left(\frac{c_1(F_i)}{\mathrm{rk} F_i} - \frac{c_1(F_j)}{\mathrm{rk} F_k} \right) \cdot H = 0$. From the Hodge index theorem we get that $\left(\frac{c_1(F_i)}{\mathrm{rk} F_i} - \frac{c_1(F_j)}{\mathrm{rk} F_k} \right)^2 \leq 0$. We get the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{-\Delta(F_i)}{\mathrm{rk}(F_i)} \leq \frac{-\Delta(E)}{\mathrm{rk}(E)}.$$

Multiplying by $(r-1)$ this yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{r-1}{\mathrm{rk}(F_i)} (-\Delta(F_i)) \leq \frac{(r-1)}{r} (-\Delta(E)).$$

The summands on the left hand side are all non negative by Proposition 4.1. The number k is greater than the right hand side by assumption, and so we have that k is greater than each summand, that is

$$k > \frac{r-1}{\mathrm{rk}(F_i)} (-\Delta(F_i)) > \frac{\mathrm{rk}(F_i)-1}{\mathrm{rk}(F_i)} (-\Delta(F_i)) + \frac{1}{\mathrm{rk}(F_i)(\mathrm{rk}(F_i)-1)H^2}.$$

By Proposition 4.1 all the F_i are stable when restricted to $C \in |k \cdot H|$. $\square$

5. EXISTENCE OF ORTHOGONAL TRIPLES

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ be a rational number. We say that a triple $F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_0$ is of α -orthogonal-type (in short is of type $\alpha^\perp$), if the following three conditions hold

- the morphism ψ is surjective,
- for the ranks we have the relation $\text{rk}(F_1) = 2 \cdot \text{rk}(F_0)$, and
- the slopes differ by α , i.e. $\mu(F_0) - \mu(F_1) = \alpha$.

We say that two triples $(E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$ and $(F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_0)$ are orthogonal when the morphism

$$(E_1 \otimes F_0) \oplus (E_0 \otimes F_1) \xrightarrow{\pi = -\varphi \otimes \text{id}_{F_0} + \text{id}_{E_0} \otimes \psi} E_0 \otimes F_0$$

is surjective, and we have $H^*(X, \ker(\pi)) = 0$.

Theorem 5.1. *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ we have the following two equivalent conditions for any triple $T = (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$ on our curve X :*

- (1) *T is α -semistable.*
- (2) *there exists an orthogonal triple $S = (F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_0)$ of type $\alpha^\perp$.*

The proof of this theorem will occupy this section. However the implication (2) $\implies$ (1) in Theorem 5.1 is not so hard to see. We show it in Corollary 5.3. The harder inclusion (1) $\implies$ (2) is Proposition 5.4 which relies on results of all previous sections.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $S = (F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_0)$ be a triple of type $\alpha^\perp$. Then for any triple $T = (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$ the morphism*

$$(E_1 \otimes F_0) \oplus (E_0 \otimes F_1) \xrightarrow{\pi = -\varphi \otimes \text{id}_{F_0} + \text{id}_{E_0} \otimes \psi} E_0 \otimes F_0$$

is surjective, and we have $\mu(\ker(\pi)) = \mu_\alpha(T) + \mu(F_0)$.

Proof. The surjectivity of $F_1 \rightarrow F_0$ implies that $E_0 \otimes F_1 \rightarrow E_0 \otimes F_0$ is surjective. Hence π is surjective. Now the computation of the numerical invariants of $\ker(\pi)$ is straightforward and yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rk}(\ker \pi) &= \text{rk}(F_0) \cdot (\text{rk}(E_1) + \text{rk}(E_0)) \\ \deg(\ker \pi) &= \text{rk}(F_0) \cdot (\deg(E_1) + \deg(E_0) - 2\alpha \cdot \text{rk}(E_0)) + (\text{rk}(E_1) + \text{rk}(E_0)) \cdot \deg(F_0) \\ &= \text{rk}(\ker \pi) \cdot (\mu_\alpha(T) + \mu(F_0)) . \end{aligned}$$

This is the statement of the lemma. $\square$

Corollary 5.3. *Let $T = (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$ be a given triple. If T is orthogonal to the triple $S = (F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_0)$ of type $\alpha^\perp$, then T is α -semistable.*

Proof. Assume the triple S is of type $\alpha^\perp$ and orthogonal to T . For any sub object $T' = (E'_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi'} E'_0) \subset T$ we obtain the following commutative diagram with injective vertical arrows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \longrightarrow & \ker(\pi') & \longrightarrow & (E'_1 \otimes F_0) \oplus (E'_0 \otimes F_1) & \xrightarrow{\pi' = -\varphi' \otimes \text{id}_{F_0} + \text{id}_{E'_0} \otimes \psi} & E'_0 \otimes F_0 & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & \ker(\pi) & \longrightarrow & (E_1 \otimes F_0) \oplus (E_0 \otimes F_1) & \xrightarrow{\pi = -\varphi \otimes \text{id}_{F_0} + \text{id}_{E_0} \otimes \psi} & E_0 \otimes F_0 & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

We study now the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \ker \pi' \rightarrow \ker \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ on the curve X . Since S is orthogonal to T , we have $H^*(X, \ker \pi) = 0$. Thus $\mu(\ker \pi) = g - 1$ by the Riemann-Roch Theorem. Since $H^0(X, \ker \pi')$ is a subspace of $H^0(X, \ker \pi)$ this vector space is zero. Hence, $\chi(\ker \pi') \leq 0$. We deduce that $\mu(\ker \pi') \leq g - 1$. The inequality $\mu(\ker \pi') \leq \mu(\ker \pi)$ translates as $\mu_\alpha(T') \leq \mu_\alpha(T)$ by Lemma 5.2. $\square$

Proposition 5.4. *Let $T = (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$ be a α -semistable triple. There exists a triple $S = (F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_0)$ of type $\alpha^\perp$ which is orthogonal to T .*

Proof. Let $T = (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$ be a α -semistable triple. We deduce by Lemma 2.2 that there exists a vector bundle E_T on the surface $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ which is H_α -semistable and in the following pull back diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_T & \xrightarrow{\quad} & p^* E_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p^* \varphi \\ p^* E_0 \otimes q^*(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & p^* E_0 \end{array}$$

Having in mind that γ is surjective, we may rewrite this as a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_T \longrightarrow p^* E_1 \oplus p^* E_0 \otimes q^*(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) \xrightarrow{-p^* \varphi + \gamma} p^* E_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now since E_T is H_α -semistable, by Theorem 4.2 the restriction of E_T to a smooth curve C in the linear system $|m \cdot H_\alpha|$ is also semistable for $m \gg 0$. Denoting the restriction of p , and q to C by $\tilde{p}$, and $\tilde{q}$, respectively. We remark that $\tilde{p}$ is an affin morphism.

We obtain the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_T|_C \longrightarrow \tilde{p}^* E_1 \oplus \tilde{p}^* E_0 \otimes \tilde{q}^*(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) \xrightarrow{-\tilde{p}^* \varphi + \gamma} \tilde{p}^* E_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now by Theorem 1.1 there exists a vector bundle F on C such that $H^*(X, E_T|_C \otimes F) = 0$. Tensoring the last exact sequence with F gives after a push forward via

$\tilde{p}$ the following vertical short exact sequence on X .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 & & \\
 \downarrow & & \\
 \tilde{p}_*(E_T|_C \otimes F) & & \\
 \downarrow & & \\
 \tilde{p}_*((\tilde{p}^*E_1 \oplus \tilde{p}^*E_0 \otimes \tilde{q}^*(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1))) \otimes F) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & E_1 \otimes \tilde{p}_*F \oplus E_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_*(\tilde{q}^*(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) \otimes F) \\
 \downarrow -p^*\tilde{\varphi}+\gamma & & \downarrow \\
 \tilde{p}_*(\tilde{p}^*E_0 \otimes F) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & E_0 \otimes \tilde{p}_*F \\
 \downarrow & & \\
 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

The horizontal isomorphisms follow from the projection formula. Thus, defining the triple S by $S = (F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_0) := \tilde{p}_*(\tilde{q}^*(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) \otimes F \rightarrow F)$ gives the desired orthogonal triple. The computation that S is of type $\alpha^\perp$ is straightforward. $\square$

5.5. Effective bounds for orthogonal triples. In the proof of Proposition 5.4 we did not use that for the curve $C \in |m \cdot H_\alpha|$ the number m can be given explicitly, as well as the rank and the determinant of the vector bundle F on C . Using these two facts which follow from Theorems 4.2 and 1.2 we obtain:

Proposition 5.6. *Let $\alpha = \frac{a}{b}$ be a positive rational number with coprime $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, and a line bundle L on X of degree one. Then for a holomorphic triple $T = (E_1 \rightarrow E_0)$ of rank (r_1, r_0) and degree (d_1, d_0) on X we have the equivalence*

- (1) T is α -semistable.
- (2) there exists an orthogonal triple $S = (F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_0)$ of type $\alpha^\perp$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathrm{rk}(F_0) &= mb(r_0 + r_1)^2 & \det(F_0) &\cong L^{\otimes d} \\
 \mathrm{rk}(F_1) &= 2mb(r_0 + r_1)^2 & \det(F_1) &\cong L^{\otimes 2d - 2(r_0 + r_1)^2 ma}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $m = \max\{4(r_1 d_0 - r_0 d_1), \lceil \frac{2g}{a} \rceil\}$, and $d = m(r_0 + r_1)(b(r_0 + r_1)(g - 1) - b(d_0 + d_1) + 2ar_0)$.

Proof. We have only to show that (1) implies (2). The opposite implication follows from Theorem 5.1. So we assume that T is α -semistable. We consider the ample line $L_{a,b} = p^*L^{\otimes a} \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)$. By Lemma 2.2. The sheaf E_T on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is semistable with respect to the polarization $L_{a,b}$. We computed the discriminant of E_T to be $\Delta(E_T) = 4(r_0 d_1 - r_1 d_0)$. So our choice of m guarantees that $L_{a,b}^{\otimes m}$ is globally generated, and the restriction of E_T to the restriction of a smooth divisor C in the associated linear system is also semistable by Theorem 4.2. By Theorem 1.2 there exists a vector bundle F on C of rank $(r_0 + r_1)^2$ and degree $\deg(F) = (r_0 + r_1)^2(g_C - 1) - (r_0 + r_1)\deg(E_T)$. Now we proceed like in the proof of

Proposition 5.4. That is we have $F_0 = p_*(F)$ and $F_1 = p_*(F \otimes q^*(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)))$. Indeed, since we can choose the determinant of F arbitrary, we obtain $\det(F_0) = L^{\otimes d}$. $\square$

5.7. A homological view on orthogonal triples. We follow here the notation of Weibel in [11]. Considering two holomorphic triples $T = (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$ and $S = (F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_0)$ as chain complexes, then we see that the *brutal truncation* $\sigma_{<2}(T \otimes S)$ of the tensor product is the complex

$$(E_1 \otimes F_0) \oplus (E_0 \otimes F_1) \xrightarrow{\pi} E_0 \otimes F_0$$

we investigate in this Section. Even though the brutal truncation is less common, we can describe the orthogonality by

$$H^*(X, H_1(\sigma_{<2}(T \otimes S))) = 0 \quad , \text{ and } \quad H_0(\sigma_{<2}(T \otimes S)) = 0.$$

When S is of type $\alpha^\perp$ the description becomes

$$H^*(X, H_*(\sigma_{<2}(T \otimes S))) = 0.$$

6. THE GENERALIZED THETA LINE BUNDLE FOR HOLOMORPHIC TRIPLES

6.1. The theta line bundle on the stack. Let $T = (\mathcal{E}_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{E}_0)$ be a triple on $X \times S$ with projections pr_X and pr_S . For two fixed classes $c_1 = [F_1]$ and $c_0 = [F_0]$ in the Grothendieck group $K(X)$ we define the theta divisor $\mathcal{O}_{S,T}(\Theta_{c_1,c_0})$ to be the determinant of cohomology

$$\mathcal{O}_{S,T}(\Theta_{c_1,c_0}) = \det(R^* \text{pr}_{S*}(\mathcal{E}_0 \otimes \text{pr}_X^* F_0)) \otimes \det(R^* \text{pr}_{S*}(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \text{pr}_X^* F_0))^{-1} \otimes \det(R^* \text{pr}_{S*}(\mathcal{E}_0 \otimes \text{pr}_X^* F_1))^{-1}.$$

Indeed, this line bundle depends only on the classes c_i of the two bundles F_i in $K(X)$. Using the projection formula we see the following relation between the theta line bundle for T and $\text{pr}_S^* L \otimes T = (\text{pr}_S^* L \otimes \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \text{pr}_S^* L \otimes \mathcal{E}_0)$ for any line bundle L on our base scheme S :

$$\mathcal{O}_{S, \text{pr}_S^* L \otimes T}(\Theta_{c_1,c_0}) = L^{\otimes \chi_{0,0} - \chi_{1,0} - \chi_{0,1}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S,T}(\Theta_{c_1,c_0})$$

where $\chi_{i,j} = \chi_{X_0}(\mathcal{E}_i \otimes \text{pr}_X^* F_j)$ is the Euler characteristic of the vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_i \otimes \text{pr}_X^* F_j$ on a fixed fiber X_0 of pr_S . If we fix $\lambda \in k^*$ and denote the multiplication by λ with $m_\lambda : T \rightarrow T$, then the induced isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{S,T}(\Theta_{c_1,c_0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S,T}(\Theta_{c_1,c_0})$ is given by multiplication with $\lambda^{\otimes \chi_{0,0} - \chi_{1,0} - \chi_{0,1}}$.

So by [4, Théorème 2.3] the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\Theta_{c_1,c_0})$ descends to the stable locus of the moduli space of triples, when the number $\chi_{0,0} - \chi_{1,0} - \chi_{0,1}$ is zero. We will assume from now on that $\chi_{0,0} - \chi_{1,0} - \chi_{0,1} = 0$, and that we have an surjection $F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_0$. To such a datum we will construct a theta divisor Θ_R where $R = (F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_0)$. For any triple $T = (\mathcal{E}_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{E}_0)$ on $X \times S$ we obtain a surjection

$$\pi : \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \text{pr}_S^* F_0 \oplus \mathcal{E}_0 \otimes \text{pr}_S^* F_1 \xrightarrow{-\varphi \otimes \text{id}_{F_0} + \text{id}_{E_0} \otimes \psi} \mathcal{E}_0 \otimes \text{pr}_S^* F_0$$

Now we define Θ_R to be the theta divisor associated to the vector bundle $\ker(\pi)$ as in the article [4] of Drezet and Narasimhan. This way we obtain a Cartier divisor Θ_R on the moduli space of stable triples. The closed points of this divisor are give as

$$\Theta_R(k) = \{S = (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0) \mid S \text{ is not orthogonal to } R\}.$$

6.2. Base point freeness. Proposition 5.6 yields a base point free result for these generalized theta divisors. Let $M = M_{(r_1, r_0, d_1, d_0)}^\alpha$ be the moduli space of α -semistable triples $T = (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$ with $\text{rk}(E_i) = r_i$, and $\deg(E_i) = d_i$, then the theta divisor Θ_R is base point free for $R = (F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_0)$ for sheaves F_i as in Proposition 5.6.

6.3. A criterion for ampleness. We fix the numerical date $c = (r_0, r_1, d_0, d_1, \alpha)$ and obtain a map from semistable c -triples on X to semistable $\tilde{c}$ -triples on the curve $C \subset X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ in the linear system $|m \cdot H_\alpha|$. Since the theta divisor on the moduli space of S-equivalence classes of rank $r_0 + r_1$ bundles on C is ample, we can hope that this also holds for its pull back to the moduli space of S-equivalence classes of c -triples. However, it turns out that we need an additional condition to ensure this.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $R = (F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_0)$ be a triple satisfying:*

- (1) ψ is surjective,
- (2) $\mu(F_0) - \mu(F_1) = \alpha$,
- (3) $\text{rk}(F_1) = 2\text{rk}(F_0)$, and
- (4) $\mu(F_0) + \mu_\alpha = g - 1$.

If for all semistable triples $T = (E_1 \rightarrow E_0)$ we have $\text{Hom}(E_0, E_1) = 0$, then the divisor Θ_R is ample.

Proof. The construction of the theta divisor uses the morphism

$$\rho : \{\text{semistable triples of type } c \text{ on } X\} \rightarrow \{\text{semistable vector bundles on } C\}$$

where C is a curve in the linear system $|m \cdot H_\alpha|$. Since the theta divisor is ample on the moduli space of semistable bundles on C , it suffices to show that ρ is infinitesimal injective. The morphism ρ can be decomposed into three steps:

- (1) We assign the triple $T = (E_1 \rightarrow E_0)$ on X the short exact sequence $(0 \rightarrow E_0 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2) \rightarrow E_T \rightarrow p^*E_1 \rightarrow 0)$ on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$.
- (2) We go from $(0 \rightarrow E_0 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2) \rightarrow E_T \rightarrow p^*E_1 \rightarrow 0)$ to the vector bundle E_T on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$.
- (3) We go to the restriction of E_T on the curve C .

The first step is an equivalence as we have seen in part 2.1. To see that the third step is infinitesimal injective, we remark first that we can choose a curve C in a linear system $|m \cdot H_\alpha|$ for $m \gg 0$. However, if $H^1(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, \text{End}(E_T)(-m)) = 0$, then the tangent map $\text{Ext}^1(E_T, E_T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(E_T|_C, E_T|_C)$ is injective. Since the

semistable triples form a bounded family we can choose an integer m which works for all triples of the fixed type c .

Now study infinitesimal injectivity of step (2). Assume that we have a deformation of the short exact sequence $(0 \rightarrow E_0 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2) \rightarrow E_T \rightarrow p^*E_1 \rightarrow 0)$ over $X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{Spec}(k[\varepsilon])$ which is constant when we consider only the deformation of E_T . This defines a tangent vector in the Quot scheme in the point $[E_T \rightarrow p^*E_1]$. The tangent space $T_{[E_T \rightarrow p^*E_1]}$ is given by

$$T_{[E_T \rightarrow p^*E_1]} = \text{Hom}(E_0 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2), p^*E_1) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)) \otimes \text{Hom}(E_0, E_1).$$

Thus, the condition $\text{Hom}(E_0, E_1) = 0$ forces the infinitesimal injectivity of ρ which gives the assertion. $\square$

7. AN EXAMPLE

In this section X denotes a curve of genus $g = 3$. We study the coarse moduli space $M = M_X^{\frac{3}{2}}(2, 1, 1, 2)$ of semistable pairs $T = (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$ where

$$\text{rk}(E_1) = 2, \quad \deg(E_1) = 1, \quad \text{rk}(E_0) = 1, \quad \deg(E_0) = 2$$

for $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$. Checking the few possible numerical types of sub triples we find that

Proposition 7.1. *For a holomorphic triple $T = (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$ we have the following equivalence:*

$$T \text{ is (semi)stable} \iff \begin{array}{ll} (1) & \varphi \neq 0, \text{ and} \\ (2) & \deg(\ker(\varphi)) \leq 0, \text{ and} \\ (3) & \mu_{\max}(E_1) \leq 1. \end{array}$$

We conclude two corollaries:

Corollary 7.2. $T \text{ is semistable} \implies \text{Hom}(E_0, E_1) = 0$.

Corollary 7.3. $T \text{ is stable} \iff E_1 \text{ is stable, and } \varphi \text{ is surjective. Thus, any stable triple } T \text{ is of type } \alpha^\perp$.

Proof. The surjectivity and the stability of E_1 is a consequence of Proposition 7.1. The equalities $2\text{rk}(E_0) = \text{rk}(E_1)$ and $\mu(E_0) - \mu(E_1) = \alpha$ are obvious. $\square$

For a fixed triple $S = (F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_0) \in M(k)$ we defined the corresponding Θ -divisor by

$$\Theta_S := \left\{ (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0) \in M(k) \mid H^* \left(\ker \left(E_1 \otimes F_0 \oplus E_0 \otimes F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi \otimes \text{id}_{F_0} - \text{id}_{E_0} \otimes \psi} E_0 \otimes F_0 \right) \right) \neq 0 \right\}$$

In this special case we have the next

Proposition 7.4. *For any $S \in M(k)$ the generalized theta divisor $\mathcal{O}_M(\Theta_S)$ is ample. The divisor Θ_S always contains the point S .*

Proof. We showed in Proposition 6.4 that Corollary 7.2 implies the ampleness of Θ_S .

The observation that the point $S = (F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_0)$ is always contained in Θ_S follows from $H^0(G) \neq 0$ where G is the kernel of the morphism

$$F_1 \otimes F_0 \oplus F_0 \otimes F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi \otimes \text{id}_{F_0} - \text{id}_{F_0} \otimes \psi} F_0 \otimes F_0.$$

We have $\mu(F_1 \otimes F_0) = \frac{5}{2} > g - 1$. Therefore we have $H^0(F_1 \otimes F_0)$ has a positive dimension. Let $e \neq 0$ be any element in $H^0(F_0 \otimes F_1)$. Let $\sigma : F_1 \otimes F_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} F_0 \otimes F_1$ be the interchanging isomorphism. The element $(e, H^0(\sigma)(e))$ is a non trivial global section of G . □

Proposition 5.6 implies that $216 \cdot \Theta_S$ is globally generated. However, this is far from a good bound.

Lemma 7.5. *If the tripe $T = (E_1 \rightarrow E_0)$ is semistable but not stable, then there exists a triple $S = (F_1 \rightarrow F_0) \in M(k)$ which is orthogonal to T .*

Proof. If T is not stable it contains a proper sub triple T' with $\mu_\alpha(T') = 0$. There are two such possibilities: Either the triple $T' = T_0 = (L_0 \rightarrow 0)$ with $\deg(L_0) = 0$, or we have $T' = T_1 = (L_2(-Q) \rightarrow L_2)$ with $\deg(L_2) = 2$ and $Q \in X(k)$. Since T sits in a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_i \rightarrow T \rightarrow T_{1-i} \rightarrow 0$$

with $i = 0$ or $i = 1$, it is enough to find a triple S which is orthogonal to T_0 and T_1 . Indeed, we can choose $S = S_0 \oplus S_1$ as follows:

$S_0 = (M_0 \rightarrow 0)$ with M_0 a degree zero line bundle. For any M_0 we have that S_0 is orthogonal to $T_0 = (L_0 \rightarrow 0)$. S_0 is orthogonal to $T_1 = (L_2(-P) \rightarrow L_2)$ iff $H^*(L_2 \otimes M_0) = 0$. Thus, for a general M_0 the triple S_0 is orthogonal to T_0 and T_1 .

$S_1 = (M_2(-Q) \rightarrow M_2)$ with M_2 of degree two. We have that $(L_0 \rightarrow 0)$ is orthogonal to S_1 iff $H^*(L_0 \otimes M_2) = 0$, again an open condition for M_2 . For the orthogonality of S_1 to T_1 we need two things: First of all the morphism $\pi : L_2(-P) \otimes M_2 \oplus L_2 \otimes M_2(-Q) \rightarrow L_2 \otimes M_2$ must be surjective. This is the case whenever $P \neq Q$. Under this condition, the kernel of π is the line bundle $L_2 \otimes M_2(-P - Q)$. Again for a general M_2 we have $H^*(\ker(\pi)) = 0$. □

Lemma 7.6. *If the tripe $T = (E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0)$ is stable, then there exists a triple $S = (F_1 \rightarrow F_0) \in M(k)$ which is orthogonal to T .*

Proof. By Corollary 7.3 we know that E_1 is stable and φ is surjective. Our strategy will be similar to the above proof. We show that T is orthogonal to a direct sum $S = S_0 \oplus S_1$. As before we set $S_0 = (M_0 \rightarrow 0)$ and $S_1 = (M_2(-Q) \rightarrow M_2)$.

The orthogonality of T to S_0 is equivalent to the vanishing of $H^*(M_0 \otimes E_0)$ which

is true for a general M_0 .

Next we look for a triple S_1 which is orthogonal to T . We choose M_2 such that:

- (1) $h^0(M_2 \otimes E_0) = \chi(M_2 \otimes E_0) = 2$,
- (2) $h^0(M_2 \otimes \ker(\varphi)) = 0$, and
- (3) $h^0(M_2 \otimes E_1) = \chi(M_2 \otimes E_1) = 1$.

The first two conditions are obviously satisfied for a general M_2 , and are open conditions on $\text{Pic}^2(X)$. For condition (3) we use any surjection $E \xrightarrow{\pi} k(P)$. The kernel of π is semistable of rank two and degree zero. By Raynaud's result in [10] for a general M_2 we have $H^*(M_2 \otimes \ker(\pi)) = 0$. This implies (3). For a line bundle M_2 satisfying (1)–(3) we have that the morphism $\sigma : H^0(M_2 \otimes E_1) \rightarrow H^0(M_2 \otimes E_0)$ is injective with image spanned by a global section $s \in H^0(M_2 \otimes E_0)$. Now we take a point $Q \in X(k)$ such that s is not in the image of $H^0(M_2(-Q) \otimes E_0) \rightarrow H^0(M_2 \otimes E_0)$. It follows that the morphism

$$H^0(M_2 \otimes E_1) \oplus H^0(M_2(-Q) \otimes E_0) \rightarrow H^0(M_2 \otimes E_0)$$

is an isomorphism. Thus, $S_1 = (M(-Q) \rightarrow M)$ is orthogonal to T . $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Álvarez-Cónsul: *Some results on the moduli space of quiver bundles*, Cent. Europ. Jour. of Math. **139**(1) (2009) 99–120.
- [2] S. B. Bradlow, O. García-Prada: *Stable triples, equivariant bundles, and dimensional reduction*, Math. Annalen **304**(2) (1996) 225–252.
- [3] S. B. Bradlow, O. García-Prada, P. Gothen: *Moduli spaces of holomorphic triples over compact Riemann surfaces*, Math. Annalen **328**(1–2) (2004) 299–351.
- [4] J.-M. Drezet, M. S. Narasimhan: *Groupe de Picard des variétés de modules de fibres semi-stables sur les courbes algébriques*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), no. 1, 5394.
- [5] G. Faltings: *Stable G -bundles and projective connections*, J. Algebraic Geom. **2** (1993), 507–568.
- [6] R. Hartshorne: *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM **52**, Springer New York, 1977.
- [7] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn: *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Aspects of Mathematics, E31, Braunschweig 1997.
- [8] A. Langer: *Semistable sheaves in positive characteristic*, Ann. of Math. **159** (2004), 251–276.
- [9] M. Popa: *Dimension estimates for Hilbert schemes and effective base point freeness on moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves*, Duke Math. J. **107** (2001), 469–495.
- [10] M. Raynaud: *Section des fibrés vectoriels sur une courbe*, Bull. soc. math. France **110** (1982), 103–125.
- [11] C. Weibel: *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.

E-mail address: georg.hein@uni-due.de

E-mail address: algebraic.geometry.2011@gmail.com

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, 45117 ESSEN, GERMANY